

08/372,676



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

Γ

7

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	20

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard Schwartz (3) J. Michael Schiff
 (2) Rob Hill (4) _____

Date of interview 6-13-96Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.Claims discussed: AllIdentification of prior art discussed: NoneDescription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr Schwartz informed

Applicant's Attorney of proposed allowable claim language. Applicant's Attorney agreed to proposed claim language pending final approval of Applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Examiner's Signature

061372,676



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	21

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ex. Julie Reeves (3) _____
 (2) Atty. Denetra Mills (4) _____

Date of interview 13 June 96Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.Claims discussed: NoneIdentification of prior art discussed: none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

Ex. sent Atty a set of proposed claims for consideration.
See attached claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Examiner's Signature

13 June, 1996

FAX No 703-684-1124

Dear Demetra Mills:

1. Enclosed please find proposed claim language for application number 08/372,676 upon which the Office agrees.
2. The following proposed claim language would be free from the prior art of record.
3. Claims 27-29 to be added. Claims 4, 10 and 12-19 to be cancelled. Claims 7, 8, 9, 11 and 20-26 to be amended. Claims 1, 7, 8, 9, 11, 20-26 and 27-29 would be allowable upon amendment and completion of certain informalities, for example, clarifying/correcting the ATCC deposit number in the Chatterjee declaration.

Claim 1. An antibody having all the identifying characteristics of monoclonal antibody 1A7 produced by the hybridoma deposited under ATCC No. HB-11786.

Claim 11. A purified antibody having all the identifying characteristics of an antibody produced by the hybridoma according to claim 27.

Claim 27. Hybridoma designated 1A7 having ATCC Accession no. HB-11786 or progeny thereof producing a monoclonal antibody having all the identifying characteristics of the antibody produced by said hybridoma 1A7.

Claim 28. Monoclonal antibody produced by the hybridoma of claim 27.

Claim 29. Monoclonal antibody purified from the hybridoma of Claim 27.

Claims 7, 8, 9, and 20-26 to be amended to depend upon the antibody of claim 28. |

Claims ~~X~~ 4, 10, 12-19 to be cancelled.

4. Please let me know if these claims are acceptable to the inventor. I apologize that I will be out of the Office from June 14-24 but look forward to processing the application for allowance upon my return.

Julie E. Reeves
Julie E. Reeves, Ph.D.
703-308-7553